arXiv:0810.0947v2 [math.FA] 18 Nov 2008

NEW INCLUSION AND COINCIDENCE THEOREMS FOR SUMMING MULTILINEAR MAPPINGS

G. BOTELHO, C. MICHELS, AND D. PELLEGRINO

Abstract. In this paper we obtain new inclusion and coincidence theorems for absolutely or multiple summing multilinear mappings. In particular, we derive optimal coincidence theorems of Bohnenblust-Hille type for multilinear forms on K-convex Banach spaces of cotype 2.

1. Introduction and background

For linear operators it is well-known that if $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$ then every absolutely p-summing operator is absolutely q-summing; this type of result is called "inclusion theorem". For multilinear mappings the situation is different and there is no similar result, in general. Such results for multilinear mappings have been investigated by several authors in the recent years (see [7, 15, 21, 24]) and the present paper presents new contributions in this direction.

Recently, in [3, 7, 15], complex interpolation arguments were used in order to obtain inclusion and coincidence theorems for spaces of absolutely summing and multiple summing mappings involving spaces of cotype 2; the interpolation results were based on the paper [12]. In this paper, among other results, we investigate similar results for the cases of spaces with cotype greater than 2 as well as for $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-spaces. We also show that in some situations our results are optimal.

The roots of this line of investigation for multilinear mappings (and of the search for coincidence results) can be traced back to Littlewood's celebrated 4/3 theorem for bilinear forms [16] and its following generalization due to Bohnenblust and Hille [4]:

If $A\colon c_0 \times \cdots \times c_0 \to \mathbb{K}$ is a continuous n-linear form, then there is a constant C_n (depending only on n) such that

$$\left(\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_n=1}^{\infty} |A(e_{i_1},\dots,e_{i_n})|^{\frac{2n}{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2n}} \leq C_n \|A\| . \tag{1}$$

The case $n = 2$ recovers the classical Littlewood 4/3 theorem.

In order to realize that Bohnenblust-Hille's theorem is in fact a predecessor of today's coincidence theorems for multilinear mappings, it is worth mentioning that a reformulation of (1), due originally to Peréz-García, asserts that for every

---

The third named author is supported by CNPq Grant 308084/2006-3.

Banach spaces $E_1, \ldots, E_n$, every continuous n-linear form on $E_1 \times \cdots \times E_n$ is multiple $(\frac{2n}{n+1}; 1, \ldots, 1)$-summing. The case $E_1 = \cdots = E_n = c_0$ recovers (1) as a particular case. Using the notation introduced below, this result can be stated as:

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{ms(\frac{2n}{n+1}; 1, \ldots, 1)}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}), \tag{2}$$

which makes clear what we mean by a coincidence theorem. Recently, the authors in [13] have provided a unification and extension of several results related to the original Bohnenblust-Hille result, in particular of a vector valued variant from [5].

Our paper is organized as follows: After fixing some notation in section 2, we present our abstract approach to inclusion and coincidence results for absolutely and multiple summing multilinear operators using complex interpolation theory in section 3 and section 4, respectively. In section 5, we focus on Bohnenblust-Hille type results for multiple summing multilinear operators defined on spaces of finite cotype – note that so far, most results of Bohnenblust-Hille type have been dealing with multilinear operators defined on c_0-spaces. A short appendix provides a clarification of some complexification arguments used throughout the paper.

2. Notation

Let $\mathbb{N}$ denote the set of natural numbers, $E, E_1, \ldots, E_n, F$ denote Banach spaces over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. For the notions of cotype $q \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{L}_p$-space we refer to [14]. For $p \geq 1$, by $l_p(E)$ we mean the spaces of absolutely p-summable sequences in E; we represent by $l_p^w(E)$ the linear space of the sequences $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ in E such that $(\varphi(x_j))_{j=1}^\infty \in l_p$ for every continuous linear functional $\varphi : E \to \mathbb{K}$. The map

$$\|(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{w,p} := \sup_{\varphi \in B_{E'}} \|(\varphi(x_j))_{j=1}^\infty\|_p$$

defines a norm in $l_p^w(E)$. When the sequences are finite (with m terms) we write l_p^m and $l_{p,w}^m$ instead of l_p and l_p^w, respectively. When $p = \infty$ we define

$$\|(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{w,\infty} := \sup \|x_j\|,$$

i.e.,

$$l_\infty^w(E) = l_\infty(E).$$

By $\mathcal{L}(E; F)$ we denote the Banach space of all bounded linear operators between the Banach spaces E and F, and by $\mathcal{L}_{as(p;q)}(E; F)$ the class of all absolutely $(p; q)$-summing linear operators $(1 \leq q \leq p < \infty)$, endowed with the usual norm $\|.\|_{as(q;p)}$. The space of all continuous n-linear mappings $A\colon E_1 \times \cdots \times E_n \to F$, with the sup norm, will be denoted by $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$. If $E_1 = \cdots = E_n = E$ we write $\mathcal{L}(^n E; F)$.

From now on, if $1 \leq q < \infty$, the symbol q^* represents the conjugate of q. It will be convenient to adopt that

$$\frac{q}{\infty} = 0$$

for any $q > 0$.

3. Absolutely summing multilinear operators

Definition 3.1. Let $1 \leq p_1, \ldots, p_n, q \leq \infty$ such that $1/q \leq 1/p_1 + \ldots + 1/p_n$. An n-linear mapping $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, ..., E_n; F)$ is absolutely $(q; p_1, ..., p_n)$-summing if there exists $C \geq 0$ such that

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \| T(x_j^{(1)}, ..., x_j^{(n)}) \|^q \right)^{1/q} \leq C \prod_{r=1}^{n} \left\| (x_j^{(r)})_{j=1}^m \right\|_{w,p_r}, \tag{3}$$

for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_j^{(r)} \in E_r$, $j = 1, ..., m$ and $r = 1, ..., n$. For $q = \infty$, the left-handside has to be modified as usual, taking the supremum over all $\| T(x_j^{(1)}, ..., x_j^{(n)}) \|$.

In this case we write $T \in \mathcal{L}_{as(q;p_1,...,p_n)}(E_1, ..., E_n; F)$, and $\pi_{as(q;p_1,...,p_n)}(T)$ denotes the infimum over all C as in the above. If $p_1 = \cdots = p_n = p$, we write $\mathcal{L}_{as(q;p)}$ instead of $\mathcal{L}_{as(q;p,...,p)}$. If $q = p_1 = \cdots = p_n$, we write $\mathcal{L}_{as,q}$ instead of $\mathcal{L}_{as(q;q,...,q)}$. The case $q = \infty$ clearly does not define anything new, but it will be very helpful for interpolation purposes, since

$$[l_\infty^m(F), l_p^m(F)]_\theta = l_r^m(F)$$

for

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1-\theta}{p}$$

with isomorphism constant independent of m. The next simple lemma will be used several times along this paper:

Lemma 3.2. *$\mathcal{L}_{as(\infty;p_1,...,p_n)}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F) = \mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$ with equal norms, regardless of the choice of $1 \leq p_1, \ldots, p_n \leq \infty$.*

Historically, the first coincidence result for absolutely summing multilinear mappings is Defant-Voigt Theorem (see [1, Theorem 3.10]), which we state below:

Theorem 3.3 (Defant-Voigt Theorem)**.** *For every Banach spaces $E_1, ..., E_n$,*

$$\mathcal{L}_{as,1}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}).$$

A first general inclusion formula can be found in [17]:

Proposition 3.4. *Let the indices $p \leq q$ and $p_i \leq q_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ be such that $0 \leq \frac{1}{p_1} + \ldots \frac{1}{p_n} - \frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{1}{q_1} + \ldots \frac{1}{q_n} - \frac{1}{q}$. Then $\mathcal{L}_{as(p;p_1,...,p_n)} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{as(q;q_1,...,q_n)}$.*

3.1. Sandwich-type results.

Proposition 3.5. *Let* $1 \leq p \leq r \leq q \leq \infty$ *and* $1 \leq p_1, \ldots, p_n, q_1, \ldots, q_n, r_1, \ldots, r_n \leq \infty$ *such that* $1/t \leq 1/t_1 + \ldots + 1/t_n$ *for* $t \in \{p, q, r\}$, *and* $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$. *Then* $T \in \mathcal{L}_{as(p;p_1,\ldots,p_n)} \cap \mathcal{L}_{as(q;q_1,\ldots,q_n)}$ *implies* $T \in \mathcal{L}_{as(r;r_1,\ldots,r_n)}$, *provided that there exists* $0 < \theta < 1$ *such that* $1/r = (1-\theta)/p + \theta/q$, *and for all* $i = 1, \ldots, n$ *it holds* $1/r_i = (1-\theta)/p_i + \theta/q_i$ *and one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

(i) E_i *is an* $\mathcal{L}_\infty$*-space;*

(ii) E_i *is of cotype* 2 *and* $1 \leq p_i, q_i \leq 2$*;*

(iii) E_i *is of finite cotype* $s_i > 2$ *and* $1 \leq p_i, q_i < s_i^*$*;*

(iv) $p_i = q_i = r_i$.

Proof. We prove the complex case, and the real case then follows by complexification as described in the appendix. Let $0 < \theta < 1$ be so that

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1-\theta}{p} + \frac{\theta}{q}. \tag{4}$$

By assumption the map T generates bounded operators

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{T}_p : l^m_{p_1,w}(E_1) \times \cdots \times l^m_{p_n,w}(E_n) \to l^m_p(F) \\ \widehat{T}_q : l^m_{q_1,w}(E_1) \times \cdots \times l^m_{q_n,w}(E_n) \to l^m_q(F) \end{cases}$$

Applying the complex interpolation method to these n-linear operators we get a linear operator

$$\widehat{T_{(\theta)}} : \left[l^m_{p_1,w}(E_1), l^m_{q_1,w}(E_1)\right]_\theta \times \cdots \times \left[l^m_{p_n,w}(E_n), l^m_{q_n,w}(E_n)\right]_\theta \to \left[l^m_p(F), l^m_q(F)\right]_\theta$$

with

$$\left\|\widehat{T_{(\theta)}}\right\| \leq \left\|\widehat{T}_p\right\|^{1-\theta} \left\|\widehat{T}_q\right\|^\theta.$$

This operator satisfies

$$\widehat{T_{(\theta)}}\left((x^{(1)}_j)^m_{j=1}, \ldots, (x^{(n)}_j)^m_{j=1}\right) = \left(T(x^{(1)}_j, \ldots, x^{(n)}_j)\right)^m_{j=1}$$

for all sequences $(x^{(i)}_j)^m_{j=1}$ in $\left[l^m_{p_i,w}(E_i), l^m_{q_i,w}(E_i)\right]_\theta$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

By [2, Theorem 5.1.2] we have $\left[l^m_p(F), l_q(F)^m\right]_\theta = l^m_r(F)$ isometrically with r as in (4). Using the natural isometric identification $l^m_{p_i,w}(E_i) = l^m_{p_i} \otimes_\varepsilon E_i$, we will now see that for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$

$$l^m_{r_i,w}(E_i) = \left[l^m_{p_i,w}(E_i), l^m_{q_i,w}(E_i)\right]_\theta \tag{5}$$

with isomorphism constant not depending on m. With this, we can identify the operator $\widehat{T_{(\theta)}}$ with the map

$$\widehat{T}_r : l^m_{r_1,w}(E_1) \times \cdots \times l^m_{r_n,w}(E_n) \to l^m_r(F),$$

and this gives us $T \in \mathcal{L}_{as(r;r_1,\ldots,r_n)}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$.

Coming back to (5), this is clear if condition (iv) is fulfilled. The apropriate statement under the assumptions in (ii) can be found in [12, Theorem], for (iii) in [18, Theorem 1]. To see that it holds under the assumptions in (i), first localize and then simply use the fact that $l^m_{p_i,w}(l^k_\infty) = l^m_{p_i} \otimes_\varepsilon l^k_\infty = l^k_\infty(\ell^m_{p_i})$ and complex interpolation of vector-valued l^k_∞'s. More precisely, we have

$$[l^m_{p_i,w}(l^k_\infty), l^m_{q_i,w}(l^k_\infty)]_\theta = [l^k_\infty(\ell^m_{p_i}), l^k_\infty(\ell^m_{q_i})]_\theta = l^k_\infty(\ell^m_{r_i}) = l^m_{r_i,w}(l^k_\infty)$$

for

$$\frac{1}{r_i} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_i} + \frac{\theta}{q_i},$$

with isomorphism constant independent of m and k. ☐

For $p = p_1 = \ldots = p_n$, $q = q_1 = \ldots = q_n$ and $r = r_1 = \ldots = r_n$, Theorem 3.5 gives the following:

Corollary 3.6. *Let $1 \le p < r < q \le \infty$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$. Then $T \in \mathcal{L}_{as,p} \cap \mathcal{L}_{as,q}$ implies $T \in \mathcal{L}_{as,r}$ in each of the following cases:*

(i) $E_1, \ldots, E_n$ are all $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-spaces;

(ii) $1 \le p \le r \le q \le 2$, and each E_i is either an $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-space or of cotype 2, $i = 1, \ldots, n$;

(iii) $1 \le p \le r \le q < s^* < 2$, and each E_i is either an $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-space or of finite cotype $s > 2$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Proof. Choose $0 < \theta < 1$ such that $1/r = (1-\theta)/p + \theta/q$ and apply Proposition 3.5. ☐

3.2. **Inclusion theorems for operators on spaces with finite cotype.** The following result extends [15, Theorem 3] to spaces with finite cotype > 2. Note that while in the linear case we have a directed oriented inclusion, that is,

$$r \le q \Longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{as,r}(E;F) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{as,q}(E;F),$$

we are about to show that in the multilinear case the inclusion sometimes holds in the opposite direction. It is worth noting that now we need the hypothesis $n \ge s$ in (ii) of the next theorem.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $1 \le r < q < \infty$. Then $\mathcal{L}_{as,q}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{as,r}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$ if one of the following conditions holds:*

(i) $1 \le r \le q \le 2$, and $E_1, \ldots, E_n$ of cotype 2 and $n \ge 2$;

(ii) $1 \le r \le q < s^* < 2$, $E_1, \ldots, E_n$ of finite cotype $s > 2$, and $n \ge s$.

Proof. (i) is already known by [15, Theorem 3]. (ii) From [6, Theorem 2.5], we know that

$$\mathcal{L}_{as,1}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F) = \mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$$

holds true for $n \ge s$ and all Banach spaces F provided that all E_i have cotype s, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Now apply Corollary 3.6 with $p = 1$. ☐

Remark 3.8. In the linear case we have $\mathcal{L}_{as,p}(E;F) = \mathcal{L}_{as,q}(E;F)$ whenever E has finite cotype $s > 2$ and $1 \leq p \leq q < s^*$ (cf. [14, Corollary 11.16]). More recently, it was shown in [24] and [9] (independently) that a similar statement holds for multiple summing operators (for the notation we refer to the next section): $\mathcal{L}_{ms,p}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F) = \mathcal{L}_{ms,q}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F)$ whenever all E_i have finite cotype $s > 2$ and $1 \leq p \leq q < s^*$. Later on in subsection 4.2, we will give an alternative proof of this result.

Using Theorem 3.3, a similar argument gives the following stronger result for the scalar-valued case (note that here, there is no need of the hypothesis $n \geq s$):

Theorem 3.9. *Let* $1 \leq r < q < \infty$. *Then* $\mathcal{L}_{as,q}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;\mathbb{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{as,r}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;\mathbb{K})$ *if one of the following conditions holds:*

(i) $E_1,\ldots,E_n$ *all* $\mathcal{L}_\infty$*-spaces;*
(ii) $1 \leq r \leq q \leq 2$, *and each* E_i *is either* $\mathcal{L}_\infty$*-space or of cotype* 2, $i = 1,\ldots,n$;
(iii) $1 \leq r \leq q < s^* < 2$, *and each* E_i *is either* $\mathcal{L}_\infty$*-space or of cotype* $s > 2$, $i = 1,\ldots,n$.

3.3. **Inclusions and coincidences for operators on $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-spaces.** For multilinear operators on $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-spaces, surprisingly the usual inclusion in directed order holds, without any further assumptions on the indices involved:

Theorem 3.10. *Let* $1 \leq p \leq r \leq \infty$ *and* $E_1,\ldots,E_n$ *all* $\mathcal{L}_\infty$*-spaces. Then* $\mathcal{L}_{as,p}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{as,r}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F)$.

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 3.2 and Corollary 3.6 with $q = \infty$. ☐

Corollary 3.11. *Let* $E_1,\ldots,E_n$ *be all* $\mathcal{L}_\infty$*-spaces and* F *a space of cotype* 2. *Then* $\mathcal{L}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F) = \mathcal{L}_{as,r}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F)$ *for all* $2 \leq r \leq \infty$.

Proof. Use $\mathcal{L}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F) = \mathcal{L}_{as,2}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F)$ ([19, Theorem 2.10]) and Theorem 3.10. ☐

Remark 3.12. The above corollary also follows from the same (formally stronger) statement for multiple summing operators later on (Corollary 4.10).

For the scalar field $\mathbb{K}$, the above can be strenghtened:

Corollary 3.13. *Let* $E_1,\ldots,E_n$ *be all* $\mathcal{L}_\infty$*-spaces. Then* $\mathcal{L}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;\mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{as,r}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;\mathbb{K})$ *for all* $1 \leq r < \infty$.

Proof. This immediately follows from Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.10. ☐

Surprisingly, we can even prove the following much stronger result, that generalizes [22, Corollary 2.5]:

Theorem 3.14. *Let* $E_1,\ldots,E_n$ *be all* $\mathcal{L}_\infty$*-spaces. Then* $\mathcal{L}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;\mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{as(r;2r)}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;\mathbb{K})$ *for all* $1 \leq r \leq \infty$.

Proof. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K})$. From [20, Corolario 3.36] or [22, Corollary 2.5] we have $T \in \mathcal{L}_{as(1;2)}$. Recall that from Lemma 3.2 we also have $T \in \mathcal{L}_{as(\infty;\infty)}$; so we use Proposition 3.5 for $p = 1, p_i = 2$, $q = q_i = \infty$ and $\theta = 1 - 1/r$. □

Remark 3.15. Note that for $n = 2$, one may deduce the above from the inclusion result in Proposition 3.4, but not for $n \geq 3$.

4. Multiple summing multilinear operators

Definition 4.1. Let $1 \leq p_1, \ldots, p_n \leq q \leq \infty$. An n-linear mapping $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, ..., E_n; F)$ is multiple $(q; p_1, ..., p_n)$-summing if there exists $C \geq 0$ such that

$$\left(\sum_{j_1,\ldots,j_n=1}^{m} \parallel T(x_{j_1}^{(1)}, ..., x_{j_n}^{(n)}) \parallel^q \right)^{1/q} \leq C \prod_{r=1}^{n} \left\| (x_j^{(r)})_{j=1}^m \right\|_{w,p_r}, \tag{6}$$

for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_j^{(r)} \in E_r$, $j = 1, ..., m$ and $r = 1, ..., n$. For $q = \infty$, the left-handside has to be modified as usual, taking the supremum over all $\parallel T(x_{j_1}^{(1)}, ..., x_{j_n}^{(n)}) \parallel$.

In this case we write $T \in \mathcal{L}_{ms(q;p_1,\ldots,p_n)}(E_1, ..., E_n; F)$, and $\pi_{ms(q;p_1,\ldots,p_n)}(T)$ denotes the infimum over all C as in the above. If $p_1 = \cdots = p_n = p$, we write $\mathcal{L}_{ms(q;p)}$ instead of $\mathcal{L}_{ms(q;p,\ldots,p)}$. If $q = p_1 = \cdots = p_n$, we write $\mathcal{L}_{ms,q}$ instead of $\mathcal{L}_{ms(q;q,\ldots,q)}$. The case $q = \infty$ clearly does not define anything new, but it will be very helpful for interpolation purposes:

Lemma 4.2. *$\mathcal{L}_{ms(\infty;p_1,\ldots,p_n)}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F) = \mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$ with equal norms, regardless of the choice of $1 \leq p_1, \ldots, p_n \leq \infty$.*

It is worth mentioning that the theory of multiple summing mappings is quite different from the theory of absolutely summing multilinear mappings and each concept, in general, needs different techniques. Just to mention an example, it is well known that in general

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}) \neq \mathcal{L}_{ms,1}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}),$$

and this behavior is different from what asserts the Defant-Voigt Theorem for absolutely summing multilinear forms.

4.1. A Sandwich-type result.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $1 \leq p \leq r \leq q \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq p_1, \ldots, p_n, q_1, \ldots, q_n, r_1, \ldots, r_n \leq \infty$ such that $t_i \leq t$ for $t \in \{p, q, r\}$ and all $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$. Then $T \in \mathcal{L}_{ms(p;p_1,\ldots,p_n)} \cap \mathcal{L}_{ms(q;q_1,\ldots,q_n)}$ implies $T \in \mathcal{L}_{ms(r;r_1,\ldots,r_n)}$, provided that there exists $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ such that $1/r = (1-\theta)/p + \theta/q$, and for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$ it holds $1/r_i = (1-\theta)/p_i + \theta/q_i$ and one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

(i) E_i is $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-space;
(ii) E_i of cotype 2 and $1 \leq p_i, q_i \leq 2$;

(iii) E_i *of cotype* $s_i > 2$ *and* $1 \leq p_i, q_i < s_i^*$*;*
(iv) $p_i = q_i$.

Proof. The proof for the case $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ goes along the same lines as the one for absolutely summing multilinear operators in Proposition 3.5; just note that the exponent in the vector-valued range space of the operators involved is m^n instead of m. Then complexification as described in the appendix proves the case $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$. □

Remark 4.4. With regard to the inclusion theorem due to Pérez-García [21] which states that $\mathcal{L}_{ms,p} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{ms,q}$ if $1 \leq p < q < 2$, it is superfluous to state analogs of Corollary 3.6 (ii) and (iii); the case of $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-spaces will be dealt with in Section 4.3.

4.2. **Reverse inclusions for multiple summing mappings.** Analogs of Theorem 3.7 for multiple summing operators have been given recently in [24] and (independently) in [9]. In this section we present a quite simple approach for these results, based only in the linear theory.

Lemma 4.5. *Let* $1 \leq r \leq 2$*. If* $E_1, ..., E_n$ *have cotype* 2*, then*

$$\mathcal{L}_{ms,r}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{ms,1}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F).$$

Proof. Suppose that $A \in \mathcal{L}_{ms,r}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$. Let $(z_j^{(k)})_j \in l_1^w(E_k)$, $k = 1, ..., n$. Since E_k has cotype 2, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{as,r^*}(c_0; E_k) = \mathcal{L}(c_0; E_k) \tag{7}$$

for every $k = 1, ..., n$. We know, from [14, Proposition 2.2], that there exist continuous linear operators

$$u_k : c_0 \to E_k$$

so that $u_k(e_j) = z_j^{(k)}$ for every j. Since $(e_j)_j \in l_1^w(c_0)$, it follows from (7) and [14, Lemma 2.23] that

$$(z_j^{(k)})_j = (a_j^{(k)} y_j^{(k)})_j,$$

with $(a_j^{(k)})_j \in l_{r^*}$ and $(y_j^{(k)})_j \in l_r^w(E_k)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j_1,\ldots,j_n=1}^{\infty} \left\| A\left(z_{j_1}^{(1)}, ..., z_{j_n}^{(n)}\right) \right\| \\
&= \sum_{j_1,\ldots,j_n=1}^{\infty} \left\| a_{j_1}^{(1)} ... a_{j_n}^{(n)} A\left(y_{j_1}^{(1)}, ..., y_{j_n}^{(n)}\right) \right\| \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{j_1,\ldots,j_n=1}^{\infty} \left| a_{j_1}^{(1)} ... a_{j_n}^{(n)} \right|^{r^*} \right)^{1/r^*} \left(\sum_{j_1,\ldots,j_n=1}^{\infty} \left\| A\left(y_{j_1}^{(1)}, ..., y_{j_n}^{(n)}\right) \right\|^{r} \right)^{1/r} < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $A \in \mathcal{L}_{ms,1}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$. □

Theorem 4.6. *If $E_1, ..., E_n$ have cotype 2, then*

$$\mathcal{L}_{ms,p}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) = \mathcal{L}_{ms,r}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$$

for every $1 \leq p \leq r < 2$.

Proof. Proof. The inclusion $\subseteq$ is due to David-Pérez-García [21]. This result combined with the previous lemma completes the proof. □

With similar arguments one can show that

Theorem 4.7. *If $E_1, ..., E_n$ have cotype $q > 2$, then*

$$\mathcal{L}_{ms,s}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) = \mathcal{L}_{ms,p}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$$

for every $1 \leq s \leq p < q^*$.

Remark 4.8. Using the estimates for the norms in [14, Lemma 2.23] it is possible to get estimates for the norms of the spaces of multiple summing mappings in the above theorems.

4.3. **Inclusions and coincidences for multilinear operators on $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-spaces.**

As for absolutely summing multilinear operators in Theorem 3.10, one can obtain the following inclusion result for multiple summing operators on $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-spaces:

Theorem 4.9. *Let $1 \leq p \leq r \leq \infty$ and $E_1, \dots, E_n$ all $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-spaces. Then $\mathcal{L}_{ms,p}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{ms,r}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$.*

Proof. Choose $0 < \theta < 1$ such that $1/r = (1 - \theta)/p$ and apply Proposition 4.3 (i) with $q = q_1 = \cdots = q_n = \infty$ and $p_1 = \cdots = p_n = p$. □

The following (formally) improves upon Corollary 3.11.

Corollary 4.10. *Let $E_1, \dots, E_n$ all be $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-spaces, and F of cotype 2. Then $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) = \mathcal{L}_{ms,r}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ for all $2 \leq r \leq \infty$.*

Proof. Just recall that under our hypothesis we have $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) = \mathcal{L}_{ms,2}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ ([5, Theorem 3.1]), and then use Theorem 4.9. □

5. Bohnenblust-Hille type results

5.1. **A vector valued result.** Although in this section we mainly consider multilinear forms, let us start with a more general result. We will need the following lemma due to David Pérez-García [20, Teorema 5.2] and Marcela Souza [25, Teorema 1.7.3] (see also [5, Theorem 3.2]):

Lemma 5.1. *If F has cotype $q \geq 2$, then for any Banach spaces $E_1, \dots, E_n$ we have*

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) = \mathcal{L}_{ms(q;1)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F).$$

Also, we need a refinement of [23, 3.16] (the proof is essentially the same, so we omit it).

Lemma 5.2. *Let $1 \leq p_1, \ldots, p_n \leq q < \infty$, and let E_i for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ be an $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-space and $p_i < q$. Then a multilinear operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$ is multiple $(q; p_1, \ldots, p_n)$-summing if and only if it is multiple $(q; p_1, \ldots, \tilde{p}_i, \ldots, p_n)$-summing for all $1 \leq \tilde{p}_i < q$.*

Proposition 5.3. *Let F be of finite cotype $q \geq 2$. Then for $r > q$,*

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F) = \mathcal{L}_{ms(r;p_1,\ldots,p_n)}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$$

provided that for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$ one of the following conditions holds:

(i) E_i *is an* $\mathcal{L}_\infty$*-space and* $1 \leq p_i < r$*;*
(ii) E_i *is of cotype* 2 *and* $\frac{1}{p_i} = \frac{q}{2r} + \frac{1}{2}$*;*
(iii) E_i *is of cotype* $s_i > 2$ *and* $\frac{1}{p_i} > \frac{1}{s_i^*} + \frac{q}{s_i r}$*;*
(iv) $p_i = 1$.

Proof. If $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$, then from Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 4.2 we have

$$T \in \mathcal{L}_{ms(q;1,\ldots,1)}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F) \cap \mathcal{L}_{ms(\infty,q_1\ldots,q_n)}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$$

with

$$q_i \begin{cases} = 2 & \text{if } E_i \text{ has cotype 2 or } E_i \text{ is an } \mathcal{L}_\infty - space, \\ < s_i^* & \text{if } E_i \text{ has cotype } s_i > 2. \end{cases}$$

Then use Proposition 4.3 with $\theta = 1 - \frac{q}{r}$. If E_i is an $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-space, we apply Lemma 5.2 to improve the corresponding summability index. ☐

5.2. **Multilinear forms on spaces with finite cotype.** For $F = \mathbb{K}$, we can do much better. This requires a little preparation.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $q \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$. If $E_1, \ldots, E_n$ are Banach spaces and*

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{ms(q;q_1,\ldots,q_n)}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}),$$

then for any Banach space E_{n+1} we have

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_{n+1}; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{ms(q;q_1,\ldots,q_n,1)}(E_1, \ldots, E_{n+1}; \mathbb{K}).$$

Proof. Let

$$(x_{i_r}^{(r)})_{i_r=1}^m \subset E_r, \ r = 1, ..., n \text{ and } (y_j)_{j=1}^m \subset E_{n+1}$$

be given. For sake of abbreviation we put

$$\mathbf{x_i} = (x_{i_1}^{(1)}, \ldots, x_{i_n}^{(n)}) \text{ for } \mathbf{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_n).$$

Define $S \in \mathcal{L}(E_{n+1}; l_q)$ by

$$S(y) = (T(\mathbf{x_i}, y)_{\mathbf{i} \in \{1,\ldots,m\}^n}, 0, 0, \ldots) \in l_q.$$

Since l_q has cotype q we have $S \in \mathcal{L}_{as(q;1)}(E_{n+1}; l_q)$, and

$$\|S\|_{as(q;1)} \leq c_0 \|S\|$$

for some $c_0 > 0$ (not depending on m). Further, we have (using the hypothesis)

$$\begin{aligned}\|S\| &= \sup_{y \in B_{E_{n+1}}} \|S(y)\|_q = \sup_{y \in B_{E_{n+1}}} \left(\sum_i |T(\mathbf{x}_i, y)|^q \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \sup_{y \in B_{E_{n+1}}} \|T(\cdot, y)\|_{ms(q;q_1,..,q_n)} \prod_{r=1}^{n} \|(x_{j_r}^{(r)})_{j_r=1}^m\|_{w,q_r} \\ &\leq \sup_{y \in B_{E_{n+1}}} c_1 \|T(\cdot, y)\| \prod_{r=1}^{n} \|(x_{j_r}^{(r)})_{j_r=1}^m\|_{w,q_r} \\ &\leq c_1 \|T\| \prod_{r=1}^{n} \|(x_{j_r}^{(r)})_{j_r=1}^m\|_{w,q_r}\end{aligned}$$

for some $c_1 > 0$ (not depending on m). So we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\sum_{\mathbf{i}} \sum_j |T(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}, y_j)|^q \right)^{1/q} &= \left(\sum_j \|S y_j\|^q \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \|S\|_{as(q;1)} \|(y_j)_{j=1}^m\|_{w,1} \\ &\leq c_0 \|S\| \|(y_j)_{j=1}^m\|_{w,1} \\ &\leq c_0 c_1 \|T\| \left(\prod_{r=1}^{n} \|(x_{j_r}^{(r)})_{j_r=1}^m\|_{w,q_r} \right) \|(y_j)_{j=1}^m\|_{w,1}\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

The following result appears in [8, Proposition 3.5]. For the sake of completeness we present a proof:

Corollary 5.5. *Let $q \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$. If $E_1, \ldots, E_n$ are Banach spaces, then*

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{ms(q;1,\ldots,1,r)}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K})$$

for every $1 \leq r \leq q$.

Proof. It is obvious that we just need to consider the case $r = q$.

We know that

$$\mathcal{L}(E_n; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{as(q;q)}(E_n; \mathbb{K}).$$

So, from Proposition 5.4 we have

$$\mathcal{L}(E_{n-1}, E_n; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{ms(q;1,q)}(E_{n-1}, E_n; \mathbb{K}).$$

By repeating this procedure we get

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{ms(q;1,\ldots,1,q)}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}).$$

□

We can now state our variant of the Bohnenblust-Hille result. Recall from the introduction that this, by a suitable reformulation, says that $\mathcal{L}(E_1,\dots,E_n;\mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{ms(\frac{2n}{n+1};1,\dots,1)}(E_1,\dots,E_n;\mathbb{K})$ for all Banach spaces $E_1,\dots,E_n$. Clearly, for $n\to\infty$, the first index tends to 2. Our result below gives information under which conditions on the spaces and the indices involved every multilinear form is multiple $(2;p_1,\dots,p_n)$-summing.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $E_1,\dots,E_n$ be Banach spaces with finite cotype. Then*

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1,\dots,E_n;\mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{ms(2;p_1^{(n)},\dots,p_n^{(n)})}(E_1,\dots,E_n;\mathbb{K}),$$

where

$$p_i^{(n)} = \begin{cases} \frac{2n}{2n-1} & \text{if } E_i \text{ is of cotype } 2, \\ \frac{nq_{i,0}}{(n-1)q_{i,0}+1} & \text{if } E_i \text{ is of cotype } q_i > 2 \text{ and } 1 \le q_{i,0} < q_i^*. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We are going to prove by induction over n. The case $n = 1$ is trivial.

Suppose now that the result is true for some n. Let us consider any $(n+1)$-linear form $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1,\dots,E_n,E_{n+1};\mathbb{K})$. From Proposition 5.4 and our hypothesis on $E_1,\dots,E_n$ we know that

$$T \in \mathcal{L}_{ms(2;p_1^{(n)},\dots,p_n^{(n)},1)}(E_1,\dots,E_n,E_{n+1};\mathbb{K}) \tag{8}$$

with $p_i^{(n)}$ as in the formulation of the theorem. From Corollary 5.5 we know that

$$T \in \mathcal{L}_{ms(2;1,\dots,1,r_{n+1})}(E_1,\dots,E_{n+1};\mathbb{K}),$$

where we choose

$$r_{n+1} := \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } E_{n+1} \text{ is of cotype } 2, \\ q_{n+1,0} & \text{if } E_{n+1} \text{ is of cotype } q_{n+1} > 2 \text{ and } q_{n+1,0} < q_{n+1}^*. \end{cases}$$

Now we use Proposition 4.3 with $\theta = \frac{n}{n+1}$. Note that for $i = 1,\dots,n$ it is

$$\frac{1}{p_i^{(n+1)}} := \frac{\theta}{p_i^{(n)}} + \frac{1-\theta}{1} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\frac{2(n+1)}{2(n+1)-1}} & \text{if } E_i \text{ is of cotype } 2, \\ \frac{1}{\frac{(n+1)q_{i,0}}{nq_{i,0}+1}} & \text{if } E_i \text{ is of cotype } q_i > 2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{p_{n+1}^{(n+1)}} := \frac{\theta}{1} + \frac{1-\theta}{r_{n+1}} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\frac{2(n+1)}{2(n+1)-1}} & \text{if } E_{n+1} \text{ is of cotype } 2, \\ \frac{1}{\frac{(n+1)q_{n+1,0}}{nq_{n+1,0}+1}} & \text{if } E_{n+1} \text{ is of cotype } q_{n+1} > 2. \end{cases}$$

So we get

$$T \in \mathcal{L}_{ms(2;p_1^{(n+1)},\dots,p_{n+1}^{(n+1)})}(E_1,\dots,E_{n+1};\mathbb{K}),$$

and the case $n+1$ is done. ☐

Corollary 5.7. *Let $n \geq 1$ and let $E_1, \ldots, E_n$ be Banach spaces of cotype 2. Then*

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{ms(2; \frac{2n}{2n-1})}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}).$$

Remark 5.8. (a) Note that the Defant-Voigt theorem together with the inclusion formula for absolutely $(q; p_1, \ldots, p_n)$-summing operators in Proposition 3.4 implies that

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{as(2; \frac{2n}{2n-1})}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K})$$

for all Banach spaces $E_1, \ldots, E_n$. Thus, one can view the above corollary as a variant of the Defant-Voigt theorem for multiple summing operators on cotype 2 spaces.

(b) In [7, Theorem 2.3] it was shown that $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{ms(2;q_k)}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K})$ with $q_k = \frac{2^{k+1}}{2^{k+1}-1}$ and k such that $2^{k-1} < n \leq 2^k$ if $E_1, \ldots, E_n$ are all of cotype 2. In particular, for $n = 2^k$ it is $q_k = \frac{2n}{2n-1}$. In fact, our theorem above now shows that this is valid for n arbitrary and that our estimates improve the previous from [7, Theorem 2.3]. Just to give an example, if $n = 3$, [7, Theorem 2.3] gives that

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, E_2, E_3; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{ms(2; \frac{8}{7})}(E_1, E_2, E_3; \mathbb{K}).$$

On the other hand our result gives

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, E_2, E_3; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{ms(2; \frac{6}{5})}(E_1, E_2, E_3; \mathbb{K}).$$

In the case that all spaces involved have cotype $q > 2$, we get the following analog:

Corollary 5.9. *Let $n \geq 1$ and let $E_1, \ldots, E_n$ be Banach spaces of cotype $q > 2$. Then*

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{ms(2; \frac{qn}{qn-1} - \varepsilon)}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K})$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small.

Proof. By Theorem 5.6 one gets that $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{ms(2; \frac{nq^*}{(n-1)q^*+1} - \varepsilon)}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K})$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. An elementary calculation now shows that $\frac{nq^*}{(n-1)q^*+1} = \frac{qn}{qn-1}$. □

Further interpolation with the original Bohnenblust-Hille result and Lemma 4.2, respectively, gives us the following more general statement:

Corollary 5.10. *Let $E_1, \ldots, E_n$ be Banach spaces with finite cotype, and let $\frac{2n}{n+1} \leq r < \infty$. Then*

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{ms(r; r_1^{(n)}, \ldots, r_n^{(n)})}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}),$$

where for $i = 1, \ldots, n$

$$\frac{1}{r_i^{(n)}} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{\max(r,2)n} & \text{if } E_i \text{ is of cotype } 2, \\ \frac{1}{q_{i,0}} + \frac{2}{r q_{i,0}{}^*} - \frac{2}{\max(r,2) q_{i,0}{}^* n} & \text{if } E_i \text{ is of cotype } q_i > 2 \text{ and } 1 \leq q_{i,0} < q_i^*. \end{cases}$$

Proof. (i) The case $\frac{2n}{n+1} \leq r \leq 2$:

By (2) we know that

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{ms(\frac{2n}{n+1};1,\ldots,1)}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}),$$

and by Theorem 5.6

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{ms(2;p_1^{(n)},\ldots,p_n^{(n)})}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}),$$

where $p_i^{(n)}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ are as in the statement of the theorem. Now let $\frac{2n}{n+1} < r < 2$. Then Proposition 4.3 with $\theta = (n+1) - \frac{2n}{r}$ gives

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{ms(r;r_1^{(n)},\ldots,r_n^{(n)})}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}),$$

where for $i = 1, \ldots, n$

$$\frac{1}{r_i^{(n)}} = \begin{cases} \frac{1-\theta}{1} + \frac{\theta(2n-1)}{2n} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{2n} & \text{if } E_i \text{ is of cotype } 2, \\ \frac{1-\theta}{1} + \frac{\theta(q_{i,0}{}^*n-1)}{q_{i,0}{}^*n} = \frac{1}{q_{i,0}} + \frac{2}{rq_{i,0}{}^*} - \frac{1}{q_{i,0}{}^*n} & \text{if } E_i \text{ is of cotype } q_i > 2 \\ & \text{and } 1 \leq q_{i,0} < q_i^*. \end{cases}$$

(ii) The case $r > 2$:

By Lemma 4.2 we know that

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{ms(\infty;t_1,\ldots,t_n)}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}),$$

where we choose for $i = 1, \ldots, n$

$$\frac{1}{t_i} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } E_i \text{ is of cotype } 2, \\ \frac{1}{q_{i,0}} & \text{if } E_i \text{ is of cotype } q_i > 2 \text{ and } 1 \leq q_{i,0} < q_i^*. \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 5.6

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{ms(2;p_1^{(n)},\ldots,p_n^{(n)})}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K})$$

where for $i = 1, \ldots, n$

$$\frac{1}{p_i^{(n)}} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2n} & \text{if } E_i \text{ is of cotype } 2, \\ \frac{1}{q_{i,0}} + \frac{1}{q_{i,0}{}^*} - \frac{1}{q_{i,0}{}^*n} & \text{if } E_i \text{ is of cotype } q_i > 2 \text{ and } 1 \leq q_{i,0} < q_i^*. \end{cases}$$

Now Proposition 4.3 with $\theta = 2/r$ gives the claim. □

Remark 5.11. (a) Note that in the linear case, $\mathcal{L}_{as(q_0;p_0)} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{as(q_1;p_1)}$ whenever $q_0 \leq q_1$, $p_0 \leq p_1$ and $\frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{1}{q_0} \leq \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{q_1}$. Moreover, if E is of cotype 2, then $\mathcal{L}_{as(q_0;p_0)}(E;F) = \mathcal{L}_{as(q_1;p_1)}(E;F)$ if additionally $p_0 \leq p_1 \leq 2$ and $\frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{1}{q_0} = \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{q_1}$. It is not known if there is any close analog for multiple $(q;p)$-summing operators, but we observe that if in the above corollary all spaces involved are of cotype 2, then the indices associated satisfy $\frac{1}{r_i^{(n)}} - \frac{1}{r} = \frac{n-1}{2n}$ for all $\frac{2n}{n+1} \leq r \leq 2$. So, if there was some similar inclusion formula at least for multiple $(q;p)$-summing multilinear forms, the above result for $r \leq 2$ would immediately follow from the original Bohnenblust-Hille result. In Corollary

5.16 we will show that there is no such result for the case of bilinear forms on Hilbert spaces.

(b) For $r > 2$, the above corollary shows in which sense Theorem 5.6 improves upon Proposition 5.3 for the special case $F = \mathbb{K}$ and $q = 2$.

(c) A natural question is whether the estimates of Corollary 5.10 are optimal. In the next section we show that, in some sense, for the case of Hilbert spaces our results are optimal.

We continue with a statement where spaces with finite cotype are mixed up with arbitrary spaces.

Corollary 5.12. *Let $E_1, \dots, E_n$ be Banach spaces with finite cotype, $E_{n+1}, \dots E_{n+k}$ be $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-spaces and $E_{n+k+1}, \dots, E_{n+k+\ell}$ be arbitrary Banach spaces, and let $\frac{2n}{n+1} \le r < \infty$. Then*

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_{n+k+\ell}; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{ms(r;r_1^{(n)},\dots,r_n^{(n)},r-\varepsilon,\dots,r-\varepsilon,1,\dots,1)}(E_1, \dots, E_{n+k+\ell}; \mathbb{K})$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small and $r_i^{(n)}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ as in Corollary 5.10.

Proof. By iteration, Corollary 5.10 and Proposition 5.4 give

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_{n+k+\ell}; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{ms(r;r_1^{(n)},\dots,r_n^{(n)},1,\dots,1,1,\dots,1)}(E_1, \dots, E_{n+k+\ell}; \mathbb{K}).$$

By Lemma 5.2, one can improve the indices associated to the $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-spaces to be as close to r as wanted. ☐

5.3. **Optimality of the Bohnenblust-Hille type results.** Finally we show that the above results are partially optimal. This is essentially based on a multilinear version of Chevet's inequality.

Lemma 5.13. *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a constant $d_n > 0$ such that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists an n-linear form $\varphi_m : l_2^m \times \cdots \times l_2^m \to \mathbb{K}$ with $\|\varphi_m\| \le d_n m^{\frac{1}{2}}$ of the form*

$$\varphi_m = \sum_{j_1,\dots,j_n=1}^{m} \varepsilon_{j_1,\dots,j_n} e_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{j_n},$$

where $\varepsilon_{j_1,\dots,j_n} \in \{-1, 1\}$.

Proof. First recall the identification $\mathcal{L}({}^n(l_2^m); \mathbb{K}) = l_2^m \otimes_\varepsilon \cdots \otimes_\varepsilon l_2^m$ (isometrically), where the latter denotes the n-fold injective tensor product of l_2^m. Now take a family $(g_{j_1,\dots,j_n})$ of independent standard Gaussian random variables. Then by the n-linear version of Chevet's inequality in [11, Lemma 6] and by [26, p. 329] there exist $\tilde{d}_n > 0$ and $\kappa > 0$ such that

$$\int \| \sum_{j_1,\dots,j_n=1}^{m} g_{j_1,\dots,j_n} e_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{j_n} \|_{l_2^m \otimes_\varepsilon \cdots \otimes_\varepsilon l_2^m} d\mu \le \tilde{d}_n \int \| \sum_{i=1}^{m} g_i e_i \|_{l_2^m} d\mu \le \kappa \tilde{d}_n m^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Now take a family $(\varepsilon_{j_1,\dots,j_n})$ of independent Bernouilli random variables. It is well-known that (up to a universal constant) Bernouilli averages are dominated by Gaussian averages, thus there exists a constant $d_n > 0$ such that

$$\int \| \sum_{j_1,\dots,j_n=1}^{m} \varepsilon_{j_1,\dots,j_n} e_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{j_n} \|_{l_2^m \otimes_\varepsilon \cdots \otimes_\varepsilon l_2^m} d\mu \le d_n m^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Now since these Bernouilli averages are dominated (up to the constant d_n) by $m^{\frac{1}{2}}$, there exists an n-linear form φ_m as desired. $\square$

This now gives the following partially optimal statement for n-linear forms on Hilbert spaces:

Theorem 5.14. *Let $H_1, \dots, H_n$ be Hilbert spaces and $\frac{2n}{n+1} \le r < \infty$. Then*

$$\mathcal{L}(H_1, \dots, H_n; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{ms(r;r_n)}(H_1, \dots, H_n; \mathbb{K}),$$

where

$$\frac{1}{r_n} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{\max(r,2)n}.$$

For $\frac{2n}{n+1} \le r \le 2$, the parameter r_n is best possible.

Proof. By Corollary 5.10 and the trivial fact that any Hilbert space is of cotype 2, it remains to show optimality for $\frac{2n}{n+1} \le r \le 2$. Without loss of generality we may assume that all Hilbert spaces involved are infinite-dimensional. Assume that

$$\mathcal{L}(H_1, \dots, H_n; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{ms(r;p_n)}(H_1, \dots, H_n; \mathbb{K})$$

with $1 \le p_n \le 2$. Then there exists $C_n \ge 0$ independent of m and $T \in \mathcal{L}(H_1, \dots, H_n; \mathbb{K})$ such that

$$\left(\sum_{j_1,\dots,j_n=1}^{m} |T(x_{j_1}^{(1)}, \dots, x_{j_n}^{(n)})|^r \right)^{1/r} \le C_n \|T\| \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left\| (x_j^{(k)})_{j=1}^m \right\|_{w,p_n}, \tag{9}$$

for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_j^{(k)} \in H_k$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ and $k = 1, \dots, n$. Now we may assume that $H_k = l_2^m$, and $x_j^k = e_j$. Then the right-handside in (9) equals

$$C_n \|T\| \|\mathrm{id} : l_2^m \to l_{p_n}^m\|^n = C_n \|T\| \, m^{\frac{n}{p_n} - \frac{n}{2}}.$$

Now by Lemma 5.13 there exists an n-linear form $\varphi_m : l_2^m \times \cdots \times l_2^m \to \mathbb{K}$ with $\|\varphi_m\| \le d_n \, m^{\frac{1}{2}}$ of the form

$$\varphi_m = \sum_{j_1,\dots,j_n=1}^{m} \varepsilon_{j_1,\dots,j_n} e_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{j_n},$$

where $\varepsilon_{j_1,\dots,j_n} \in \{-1, 1\}$. For this n-linear form, the right-handside in (9) now can be estimated from above by $\tilde{C}_n \, m^{\frac{n}{p_n} - \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2}}$ for some other constant $\tilde{C}_n > 0$

independent of m and φ_m, whereas the left-handside equals $m^{\frac{n}{r}}$. Thus,

$$\frac{1}{p_n} \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{2n} = \frac{1}{r_n}$$

and hence $p_n \leq r_n$. □

We conjecture that our result in the case $r > 2$ is also optimal; this conjecture is motivated by the upcoming proposition which deals with the case $n = 2$.

Proposition 5.15. *Let $2 \leq r < \infty$. Then for $1 \leq p \leq r$ the following are equivalent:*

(i) $\mathcal{L}(l_2, l_2; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{ms(r;p)}(l_2, l_2; \mathbb{K})$*;*
(ii) $\mathcal{L}(l_2, l_2; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{as(r;p)}(l_2, l_2; \mathbb{K})$*;*
(iii) $\frac{1}{p} \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2r}$.

Proof. By Corollary 5.10 and the inclusion $\mathcal{L}_{ms(r;p)} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{as(r;p)}$ we only have to show that (ii) implies (iii). Assume that (ii) holds, and consider the bilinear operator $T(x, y) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i y_i$, $x, y \in l_2$, which is of norm 1 by the Hölder inequality. Then by definition of the absolutely $(r;p)$-summing norm and (ii) there exists $C > 0$ (independent of m) such that

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} |T(x_j^{(1)}, x_j^{(2)})|^r\right)^{1/r} \leq C \, \left\|(x_j^{(1)})_{j=1}^m\right\|_{w,p} \left\|(x_j^{(2)})_{j=1}^m\right\|_{w,p},$$

for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_j^{(k)} \in E_k$, $j = 1, ..., m$ and $k = 1, 2$. Now choose $x_j^{(k)} = e_j$ for $j = 1, ..., m$ and $k = 1, 2$. Then

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} |T(x_j^{(1)}, x_j^{(2)})|^r\right)^{1/r} = m^{1/r}$$

and

$$\left\|(x_j^{(1)})_{j=1}^m\right\|_{w,p} \left\|(x_j^{(2)})_{j=1}^m\right\|_{w,p} = \|\mathrm{id} : l_2^m \to l_p^m\|^2 = m^{\max(\frac{2}{p}-1,0)}.$$

This now implies $p \leq 2$ and $\frac{1}{r} \leq \frac{2}{p} - 1$, and therefore (iii). □

With regard to the remark after Corollary 5.10, this immediately implies the following supplement to the non-existence of a general inclusion result of the type $\mathcal{L}_{ms,q_0} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{ms,q_1}$ whenever $1 \leq q_0 < q_1 < \infty$ in [21] – note that nevertheless $\mathcal{L}_{ms,1}(H_1, \ldots, H_n; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{ms,q}(H_1, \ldots, H_n; \mathbb{K})$ for all $1 < q < \infty$ by [21] and hence, $\mathcal{L}_{ms,q_0}(H_1, \ldots, H_n; \mathbb{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{ms,q_1}(H_1, \ldots, H_n; \mathbb{K})$ whenever $1 \leq q_0 < q_1 < \infty$.

Corollary 5.16. *There does not exist a general inclusion result of the type* $\mathcal{L}_{ms(q_0;p_0)} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{ms(q_1;p_1)}$ *whenever* $p_0 < p_1$ *and* $\frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{1}{q_0} \leq \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{q_1}$*, not even for bilinear forms on Hilbert spaces.*

Proof. Assume that such a general inclusion result would hold. Then the original Bohnenblust-Hille result would imply that $\mathcal{L}(l_2, l_2; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{ms(r;p)}(l_2, l_2; \mathbb{K})$ whenever $r > 2$ and $1 \leq p \leq r$ are such that

$$1 - \frac{1}{4/3} \leq \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}.$$

However, since $r > 2$, this would contradict $\frac{1}{p} \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2r}$ from the above proposition (for example, when $r = 4$ and $p = 2$). ☐

Optimality of coincidences for multiple summing operators on Hilbert spaces has a great effect on optimality of coincidences for operators on so-called K-convex spaces. For this notion, we refer to [14]; a fundamental characterization due to G. Pisier says that a Banach space is K-convex if and only if it is of non-trvial type (see. e.g., [14, Theorem 13.3]).

Lemma 5.17. *Let $E_1, \ldots, E_n$ be K-convex Banach spaces, F be an arbitrary Banach space, $1 \leq r < \infty$ and $1 \leq r_1, \ldots, r_n \leq r$. Then $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F) = \mathcal{L}_{ms(r;r_1,\ldots,r_n)}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$ implies $\mathcal{L}(^n l_2; F) = \mathcal{L}_{ms(r;r_1,\ldots,r_n)}(^n l_2; F)$.*

Proof. This follows by standard arguments from the fact that a Banach space is K-convex if and only if it contains the l_2^n's uniformly and uniformly complemented (see, e.g., [14, Theorem 19.3]). ☐

We now can state in which sense some of our Bohnenblust-Hille type theorems are optimal also for multilinear forms on arbitrary Banach spaces; note that, e.g., l_p for $1 < p \leq 2$ is of cotype 2 as well as K-convex.

Corollary 5.18. *For $\frac{2n}{n+1} \leq r \leq 2$ and $E_1, \ldots, E_n$ all K-convex Banach spaces of cotype 2, the result from Corollary 5.10 is best possible.*

Proof. This immediately follows from Theorem 5.14 and Lemma 5.17. ☐

Remark 5.19. The space l_1 is of cotype 2 but not K-convex, and Corollary 5.10 is far from being best possible for multilinear forms on $^n l_1$: Every multilinear operator from $^n l_1$ into a Hilbert space is multiple r-summing for all $1 \leq r \leq 2$ (see [5]). Thus, the K-convexity condition for optimality is not superfluous and seems to be quite appropriate.

We finish with the following generalization of [10, Remark 2.1], where the case $r = 2$ is treated. Although it can be proved by other means, it shows in which sense our more abstract coincidence result for multiple summing operators can be used to obtain inequalities more closely related to the original Bohnenblust-Hille inequality.

Corollary 5.20. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(^n l_2; \mathbb{K})$ and $1 \leq r < \infty$. Then for some constant $C_n > 0$ not depending on m and T, the following hold:*

(i) If $1 \leq r \leq 2$, then

$$\left(\sum_{j_1,\ldots,j_n=1}^{m} |T(e_{j_1}, \ldots, e_{j_n})|^r \right)^{1/r} \leq C_n\, m^{\frac{n}{r}-\frac{1}{2}} \|T\|,$$

and the exponent $\frac{n}{r} - \frac{1}{2}$ is best possible.

(ii) If $2 \leq r < \infty$, then

$$\left(\sum_{j_1,\ldots,j_n=1}^{m} |T(e_{j_1}, \ldots, e_{j_n})|^r \right)^{1/r} \leq C_n\, m^{\frac{n-1}{r}} \|T\|,$$

and the exponent $\frac{n-1}{r}$ is best possible when $n = 2$.

Proof. (ii) The estimate follows from Theorem 5.14 and similar reasoning as in its proof. Alternatively, one may use [10, Remark 2.1] (the case $r = 2$) and the fact that for $2 < r < \infty$ the space $l_r^{m^n}$ is of power type $1 - \frac{2}{r}$ with respect to the interpolation couple $(l_2^{m^n}, l_\infty^{m^n})$; note that this even shows that one may choose $C_n = 1$. The optimality for $n = 2$ can be seen using the same bilinear form as in the proof of Proposition 5.15.

(i) The estimate follows by factorization through $l_2^{m^n}$ from the case $r = 2$. The optimality can be seen by using the n-linear form from Lemma 5.13. ☐

Appendix A. Complexification

The following definitions and results are essentially based on ideas presented in [20, p. 68–70], and we omit the mostly straightforward proofs of the results.

Let E be a real Banach space, and define the complex vector space $\tilde{E} = E \oplus E$ with the operations

$$(x, y) + (u, v) = (x + u, y + v), \qquad x, y, u, v \in E,$$
$$(\alpha + i\beta)(x, y) = (\alpha x - \beta y, \beta x + \alpha y), \qquad x, y \in E, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This becomes a complex Banach space under the norm

$$\|x + iy\|_{\tilde{E}} = \|x \otimes e_1 + y \otimes e_2\|_{E \otimes_\pi l_2^2}, \qquad x + iy = (x, y) \in \tilde{E},$$

where $E \otimes_\pi l_2^2$ denotes the projective tensor product of E with l_2^2.

If $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$ is an operator between real Banach spaces, we define its complexification $\tilde{T} \in \mathcal{L}(\tilde{E}_1, \ldots, \tilde{E}_n; \tilde{F})$ by

$$\tilde{T}(x^{1,0} + ix^{1,1}, \ldots, x^{n,0} + ix^{n,1}) = \sum_{\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_n} i^{\sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k} T(x^{1,\varepsilon_1}, \ldots, x^{n,\varepsilon_n}).$$

With these definitions, one can easily prove the following:

Proposition A.1. *(a) Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$ be an operator between real Banach spaces and $\tilde{T}$ its complexification. If $1 \leq p_1, \ldots, p_n, q \leq \infty$ are such* *that* $1/q \leq 1/p_1 + \ldots 1/p_n$*, then* T *is absolutely* $(q; p_1, \ldots, p_n)$*-summing if and only if* $\tilde{T}$ *is.*

(b) Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$ *be an operator between real Banach spaces and* $\tilde{T}$ *its complexification. If* $1 \leq p_1, \ldots, p_n \leq q \leq \infty$*, then* T *is multiple* $(q; p_1, \ldots, p_n)$*-summing if and only if* $\tilde{T}$ *is.*

The following is only a short list of properties of a Banach space which are stable under complexification, essentially the ones that we need for our purposes.

Proposition A.2. *The following properties of a Banach space are stable under complexification:*

(a) having cotype q*, for* $2 \leq q < \infty$*;*

(b) being an $\mathcal{L}_p$*-space, for* $1 \leq p \leq \infty$*.*

References

[1] R. Alencar and M.C. Matos, *Some classes of multilinear mappings between Banach spaces*, 1989, Publicaciones del Departamento de Análisis Mathemático, sec. 1, no. 12. Universidad Complutense de Madrid.

[2] J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1976.

[3] O. Blasco, G. Botelho, D. Pellegrino and P. Rueda, *Summability of multilinear mappings: Littlewood, Orlicz and beyond*, preprint.

[4] H. F. Bohnenblust and E. Hille, *On the absolute convergence of Dirichlet series*, Ann. Math. **32** (1931), 600–622.

[5] F. Bombal, D. Peréz-García, and I. Villanueva, *Multilinear extensions of Grothendieck's theorem*, Quart. J. Math. **55** (2004), 441–450.

[6] G. Botelho, *Cotype and absolutely summing multilinear mappings and homogeneous polynomials*, Proc. Royal Irish Acad. 97 (1997), 145–153.

[7] G. Botelho, H.-A. Braunss, H. Junek, and D. Pellegrino, *Inclusions and coincidences for multiple summing multilinear mappings*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.

[8] G. Botelho and D. Pellegrino, *When every multilinear mapping is multiple summing*, Math. Nachr., to appear.

[9] G. Botelho and D. Pellegrino, *Coincidences for multiple summing mappings*, arXiv:0809.4171v2.

[10] F. Cobos, T. Kühn, and J. Peetre, *On* $\mathfrak{S}_p$*-classes of trilinear forms*, J. London Math. Soc. **59** (1999), 1003–1022.

[11] A. Defant, Díaz, D. García, and M. Maestre, *Unconditional basis and Gordon-Lewis constants for spaces of polynomials*, J. Funct. Anal. **181** (2001), 119–145.

[12] A. Defant and C. Michels, *A complex interpolation formula for tensor products of vector-valued Banach function spaces*, Arch. Math. **74** (2000), 441–451.

[13] A. Defant and P. Sevilla-Peris, *A new multilinear insight on Littlewood's* $4/3$*-inequality*, J. Funct. Anal., to appear.

[14] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow and A. Tonge, *Absolutely summing operators*, Cambridge University Press 1995.

[15] H. Junek, M. Matos and D. Pellegrino, *Inclusion theorems for absolutely summing holomorphic mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), 3983–3991.

[16] J.E. Littlewood, *On bounded bilinear forms in an infinite number of variables*, Quart. J. Math. **1** (1930), 164–174.

[17] M.C. Matos, *On multilinear mappings of nuclear type*, Rev. Mat. Univ. Complut. Madrid **6** (1993), 61–81.

[18] C. Michels, *One-sided interpolation of injective tensor products of Banach spaces*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **14** (2007), 531–538.

[19] D. Pellegrino, *Cotype and nonlinear absolutely summing mappings*, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. **105A** (2005), 75–91.

[20] D. Pérez-García, *Operadores multilineales absolutamente sumantes*, Doctoral Thesis, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 2003.

[21] D. Pérez-García, *The inclusion theorem for multiple summing operators*, Studia Math. **165** (2004), 275–290.

[22] D. Pérez-García, *The trace class is a Q-algebra*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **21** (2006), 287–295.

[23] D. Peréz-García and I. Villanueva, *Multiple summing operators on $C(K)$-spaces*, Ark. Mat. **42** (2004), 153–171.

[24] D. Popa, *Reverse inclusions for multiple summing mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., to appear.

[25] M. L. V. Souza, *Aplicações multilineares completamente absolutamente somantes*, Doctoral Thesis, Unicamp, 2003.

[26] N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, Banach-Mazur distances and finite-dimensional operators ideals, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow/Londin 1989.

(Geraldo Botelho) Faculdade de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Uberlândia, 38.400-902 - Uberlândia, Brazil
E-mail address: `botelho@ufu.br`

(Carsten Michels) Institut für Mathematik, Universität Oldenburg, Postfach 2503, D-26111 Oldenburg, Germany
E-mail address: `michels@mathematik.uni-oldenburg.de`

(Daniel Pellegrino) Depto de Matemática, UFPB, J. Pessoa, 58051-900, PB, Brazil
E-mail address: `dmpellegrino@gmail.com`